Psychological trauma of the civil war in Sri Lanka

Sri Lanka’s ceasefire brokered by the Norwegian government 3 months ago has essentially held, bringing hope for the end of the country’s brutal 19-year civil war. The war between the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam, who have been fighting for an independent state in the north and east of the island, and the Sri Lankan government has resulted in more than 64 000 deaths and shattered the economy.

The Northern Tamil-controlled region is home to hundreds of thousands of people displaced by the conflict. Many of the region’s estimated 371 000 population are former residents of the Jaffna peninsula who have fled the fighting since this symbol of statehood was recaptured by the Sri Lankan army in 1996.

In Vavuniya, a town close to the front lines, thousands of displaced people have been settled in government-run camps known as welfare centres. These centres were established 10 years ago as temporary facilities for people displaced by conflict and wishing to resettle elsewhere in Sri Lanka. Conditions in the camps are poor. Families (average six members) occupy an area of 3 m². Hygiene is very limited, and water shortages and non-functional pit latrines are common. As a security measure, these 23 000 Tamils were virtually confined to the centres by a strictly-enforced pass system. The desperate living conditions, and the general level of trauma within the population, is reflected by the alarming rate of suicide in the camps, which is almost three times higher (103.5 per 10 000) than in the region. Many had witnessed attacks on their village, aerial bombing, mortar fire, cross fire, and instances of torture, and had seen wounded people, and people being burnt in their houses. 20 (12%) had been attacked, 28 (18%) arrested or kidnapped, 27 (17%) taken hostage or detained, 28 (17%) maltreated by police or army personnel, and 17 (11%) tortured. Instances of rape were low (five of 162) but there were concerns about under-reporting since 60% of respondents (97) claimed to have heard of rape cases. Almost all had lost their homes or property (157, 97%) and faced starvation (152, 94%). 88% (142) described a constant feeling of being unsafe.

Conflict and violence inevitably results in the loss of loved ones, and 12 (7%) of participants had lost their partner, 14 (9%) a child, 20 (12%) parents, 29 (18%) a sibling, and 16 (10%) a grandparent. More than a third had lost someone to whom they were close. Some had witnessed these deaths—16 (10%) had witnessed the death of their child or a child in their care. Around half (78, 48%) had been separated from family members. 39 people (24%) reported that someone in the family had attempted suicide.

The survey findings provide an indication of the general level of suffering of the population in a country where chronic conflict has taken a dramatic toll and the health system is unable to cope. Health units, health professionals, and medical supplies have all suffered as a consequence of the long-term conflict, resulting in lower access, availability, and quality of health care. Most medical professionals have fled the conflict: 21 of 27 vacancies for government doctors in the northern region remain vacant.

It would be difficult to imagine a group of people suffering more from the psychological trauma of war. They have been the direct victims and witnesses of war and human rights abuses, they have been cut off from employment and the hope of self-reliance, and remain confined to squalid conditions that amplify the psychological trauma of the past and exacerbate mental health problems.

The local health authorities admit psychological health has long been a concern in Vavuniya, but are helpless to do anything about it because of lack of resources, expertise, and support from central government.

To help address the burden of mental illness and trauma, MSF is running a community-based programme with an emphasis on the psychosocial consequences of violence. Activities include increasing awareness, community strengthening, reinforcing coping-strategies for long-term war-affected communities, and counselling by trained national staff. A
Middle East takes spotlight at human rights commission as China ignored

To the dismay of non-government organisations concerned about rising executions and oppression of religious groups, the UN Human Rights Commission made no attempt to censure China during its month-long annual session which ended on April 20. No country was prepared to put forward a resolution criticising China’s record. In previous years, the USA and European countries have sponsored resolutions—even though these have always been defeated because of intensive Chinese lobbying among the developing country majority on the 53-nation commission.

“It is just a deplorable lack of political will on the part of Western countries—on the part of all countries—not to even raise the issue of human rights in China for debate”, said Reed Brody, a director of the US-based, non-government organisation, Human Rights Watch. The European Union maintained it was preoccupied with other resolutions—such as one on the situation in the Russian republic of Chechnya. The USA was not a member of the commission this year and had no voting rights.

Human Rights Watch said the clampdown on the Falun Gong movement, the situation in Tibet, and repression of Muslim minorities under the guise of defeating terrorism meant that China’s human rights record should at least have been discussed. Coinciding with the commission, which is the top UN human rights watchdog, Amnesty International issued its annual report on the death penalty, blaming China for a surge in worldwide executions in 2001. Amnesty stated that at least 3048 people were executed in 31 countries last year. China accounted for 2468 of these—and that was probably a big underestimate, said the London-based group. “Many of those condemned to death could have been tortured to extract confessions. Condemned prisoners were often shackled and humiliated by being paraded in public”, said Amnesty. Iran, Saudi Arabia, and the USA accounted for most of the other executions.

Much of the commission’s debates were overshadowed by the violence in the Middle East, with Israel coming in for strong condemnation. In a speech to the commission, UN Secretary General Kofi Annan said some sort of international force should be sent to the occupied territories because “the situation is so dangerous and the humanitarian and human rights situation so appalling”.
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