Does the 65 cm height cut-off as age proxy exclude children eligible for nutritional assessment in Bangladesh?
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Setting: Kamrangirchar slum, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Objective: During nutritional surveys and in circumstances when it is difficult to ascertain children’s age, length/height cut-offs are used as proxy for age to sample children aged 6–59 months. In a context of prevalent stunting, using data from primary health care centres where age and height parameters were well-recorded, we assessed the proportion of children aged between 6 and 59 months who would be excluded from nutritional assessment using a height cut-off of 65 cm as a proxy for age ≥6 months.

Design: This was a secondary data analysis of primary health centre data.

Results: A total of 2060 children were included in the analysis, with a median age of 24 months and a median height of 78 cm (SD 12.1, range 50–109 cm). There were 240 (12%), 95%CI 10–13) children aged between 6 and 29 months, with a height <65 cm. The majority (59%) of these children were females; 97.5% were aged 6–17 months.

Conclusion: In an urban slum setting in Bangladesh, the use of the current height cut-off as a proxy for age excludes vulnerable children from nutritional assessment and could also lead to underestimation of the prevalence of malnutrition in nutritional surveys.

Bangladesh has one of the highest prevalence rates of stunting (low height/height for age) among children in the world. Approximately 43% of children aged <5 years are stunted and are at risk for acute malnutrition (wasting, low weight for length/height or nutritional oedema).1

Children living in slums are particularly vulnerable to malnutrition due to unhygienic conditions and economic hardship. Nutritional surveillance consisting of annual nutritional surveys and regular household screening is conducted in children aged between 6 and 59 months, who are the main target for guiding the need for and timing of interventions in these settings.

In poor communities, where there is no tradition of recording the actual date of a child’s birth and where the majority of child births happen at home, age documentation for children is often not available.4 This also occurs among migrant populations and in the context of natural disasters and conflicts. As a way of solving this practical problem, non-governmental organisations (NGOs) such as Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) have resorted to using length/height cut-offs as proxy for age, and a standardised height measurement stick was developed with a lower height cut-off of 65 cm (a proxy for 6 months of age) and an upper cut-off of 110 cm (a proxy for 59 months of age; Figure 1). These cut-offs were sourced from the 2006 World Health Organization (WHO) growth standards, which were derived from an international sample of healthy breastfed infants and children raised in an environment with minimal constraints to growth.5 The height cut-off value of 65 cm is equal to the −1 Z-score of a sex-combined height-for-age curve at 6 months of age. These height cut-offs are used to select children to be sampled for nutritional assessment in the absence of age data. Those children found to be malnourished are eligible for nutritional rehabilitation and support.

In the complex context of Kamrangirchar slum in Bangladesh, age verification of children during household nutritional screening was a challenge. The majority of the parents were absent or seeking work, and the care givers were often family members or neighbours who didn’t know the real age of the child. To solve this practical problem, height cut-off thresholds were used as a proxy for age.

As growth stunting is prevalent in Bangladesh, we hypothesised that a sizable proportion of children aged ≥6 months would be unlikely to have attained a height of 65 cm and would thus be excluded from nutritional assessment. This is of particular concern, as these children are more vulnerable to malnutrition and related mortality risks.6

In Kamrangirchar slum, in Dhaka, Bangladesh, using data from primary health care centres, where age and height parameters were well recorded, we assessed the proportion of children aged between 6 and 59 months who would be excluded from sampling using the height cut-off of 65 cm as a proxy for age ≥6 months.

METHOD

Study setting and population

This was a secondary data analysis of routine primary health care (PHC) data, and included all children aged 6–59 months who attended the clinics for the first time between July and September 2011 in the Kamrangirchar slum. Kamrangirchar has a population of 400000 living in an area of 3.1 km². The population is mainly formed of migrants, and the majority of the mothers work. There are no governmental health services in the slum and PHC services are outsourced to NGOs. MSF PHC services are offered at two centres and focus on childhood malnutrition. There is no particular catchment area for both centres: they are accessible to approximately 60000 children in the slum.
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located in the most condensed parts of the slum to cover the majority of the population.

All children presenting to the PHC for both preventive and curative care had their age, sex and anthropometric measurements recorded. Height/length was measured using a wooden stadiometer, with a precision of 0.1 cm. Weight was measured using a hanging scale, with an accuracy of 100 g. Mid-upper arm circumference was measured with a tape measure. In each PHC centre there were two anthropometric measurers who had formal training in the measurement techniques. On-the-job supervision and refresher training were conducted at regular intervals to limit errors in measurement. Instruments used in MSF’s health facilities around the world undergo formal validation, as was the case in Bangladesh.

Ages were verified using birth certificates, vaccination cards and local calendars of events. All services were free of charge and offered according to standard MSF and WHO guidelines.3

Data and analysis
Data obtained from the PHC register on height, age and sex were entered into EpiData version 3.1 (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark) between November and December 2011. A sample size was calculated based on the hypothesis that 6% of the children attending the PHC aged 6–59 months were <65 cm in height. To detect the above with a 95% confidence interval (CI) and 5% error, the required sample size was calculated to be 2072 children.

We determined the proportion of children aged 6–59 months who had not attained a height cut-off of 65 cm (95%CI and 5% error). To assess the representativeness of the age distribution of the study sample, data on the age distribution of children included in the MSF annual nutritional survey in Kamrangirchar in 20119 were compared with the study sample.

Data entry was validated by comparing randomly selected entered data with the register; the data were then cleaned of errors and aberrant records.

Ethics approval
The study was approved by the Ethics Advisory Group of the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Paris, France. The study met the Médecins Sans Frontières Ethics Review Board-approved criteria for analysis of routinely collected program data.

RESULTS
Data on 2072 children were available in the electronic database. Twelve children were excluded from the analysis: nine were missing records on height and three had aberrant records (two with height and one with age). Of the remaining 2060 children included in the analysis, 1042 (51%) were males and the median age was 24 months. The median height was 78 cm, with a standard deviation of 12.1 (range 50–109). On comparing the age distributions of the children included in the study sample with those included in the nutritional survey, which was based on a random cluster method, there was a significant difference between the age groups 6–17 and 42–53 months (Table 1).

Of the total sample, 240 (12%, 95%CI 10–13) children aged between 6 and 29 months had a height <65 cm. Table 2 shows the age groups, sex and height ranges of these children. The majority of these children were females (59%) and aged between 6 and 17 months (97.5%; Figure 2).

---

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group, months</th>
<th>Study sample (n = 2060)</th>
<th>Nutritional survey (n = 832)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6–17</td>
<td>35 (33.3–37.5)</td>
<td>20 (17.2–22.6)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–29</td>
<td>24 (22.1–25.8)</td>
<td>26 (22.8–28.8)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–41</td>
<td>19 (16.9–20.3)</td>
<td>23 (20.3–26.0)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42–53</td>
<td>16 (14.6–17.8)</td>
<td>23 (20.2–25.9)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54–59</td>
<td>6 (5.0–7.1)</td>
<td>8 (6.7–10.4)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSF = Médecins Sans Frontières; CI = confidence interval.

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group, months</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>Female n (%)</th>
<th>Male n (%)</th>
<th>Height range, cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6–17</td>
<td>234 (97.5)</td>
<td>139 (59)</td>
<td>95 (41)</td>
<td>50–64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–29</td>
<td>6 (2.5)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>50.2–64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>240 (100)</td>
<td>141 (59)</td>
<td>99 (41)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1 Using a height measuring stick to identify children between 65 and 110 cm of height in Kamrangirchar slum, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

FIGURE 2 Height and age of the study population in Kamrangirchar slum, Dhaka, Bangladesh (n = 2060).
DISCUSSION

The study confirms our hypothesis that, in a context of prevalent stunting, 12% of children aged 6–59 months are misclassified for age using the height cut-off of 65 cm as proxy, and are thus excluded from nutritional assessments.

This study has several strengths: 1) this is the first study to assess the use of 65 cm height as a proxy for age ⩾6 months in an Asian context; 2) it was conducted in an urban slum context, which is unique; 3) rigorous attention was paid to verify children's age; and 4) the staff were well trained in anthropometric measurements.

An important limitation of the study is that there might be an issue of representativeness of the sample, as we used PHC data and access to the PHC and morbidity might have an influence on the sample. Notably, the majority (97.5%) of the children who did not attain 65 cm in height were those aged 6–17 months. This age group constituted 35% of the study sample in comparison with 20% of the community survey. This might be explained by the fact that younger children tend to be more vulnerable to morbidity episodes, and as such often constitute a higher proportion of children attending PHC centres.

On the other hand, repeated morbidity—which is more likely in the sample that presents to PHC centres—could influence retarded growth and thus increase the proportion of children in the age group 6–17 months, as seen in the PHC sample. This notwithstanding, the overall proportion of exclusion using the current height proxy of 65 cm is still too high.

Although the use of a locally developed calendar to verify children's age during a community survey instead of using height/length as a proxy for age may be debatable, in contexts such as the Kamrangirchar slum, age verification of children even using the local events calendar tends to be difficult due to parental absence. Finally, although our staff were trained in various methods for ascertaining age, the exact method of ascertainment of these parameters was not recorded in the register.

The findings of this study also highlight a number of concerns related to the use of height cut-offs to verify age in our setting. First, about one in ten children who should undergo nutritional assessment in infancy were excluded—the majority (97.5%) of the exclusions occurred among children aged 6–17 months, who are the most vulnerable age group for malnutrition-related morbidity and mortality. This finding also implies that standardised WHO growth chart data, used as the basis for determining proxy heights for age, are inappropriate in such contexts of prevalent stunting. Second, the findings beg the following question: what should be the ideal height proxy for 6 months of age in our setting? This would require a community cluster survey and validity analysis to determine cut-offs with the highest positive predictive value. Our study was not designed to conduct such an analysis, and this will thus require further research. Due to height stunting, the upper height cut-off of 110 cm as a proxy for 59 months of age might also misclassify children aged >59 months as being below that age, as they might not have attained a height of 110 cm. This will unnecessarily include children who are not in the target group for nutritional assessments. Here too, determining the ideal upper cut-off as an age proxy of 59 months will require further research.

Third, and most importantly, the use of height cut-offs as proxy for age is likely to introduce bias in nutritional surveys assessing malnutrition prevalence in the age group 6–59 months. This could have major public health implications, as nutritional interventions are guided by prevalence rates of malnutrition among such age groups. Fourth, our findings also highlight the need for national growth charts in a country such as Bangladesh, which has a high prevalence of stunting.

CONCLUSION

The use of the current height cut-off of 65 cm as proxy for age ⩾6 months in an urban slum setting in Bangladesh excludes vulnerable children, but steps are being taken to rectify this situation.
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50–109 cm). Nous avons trouvé 240 enfants (12% ; IC95% 10–13) âgés de 6 à 29 mois et dont la taille était <65 cm. La majorité de ces enfants étaient de sexe féminin et 97,5% étaient âgés de 6 à 17 mois. **Conclusion** : Dans un contexte urbain pauvre du Bangladesh, l’utilisation de la limite actuelle de la taille comme substitut pour l’âge exclut des enfants vulnérables de l’évaluation nutritionnelle et pourrait également sous-estimer la prévalence de la malnutrition dans les enquêtes nutritionnelles.

**Marco de referencia:** El barrio marginal de Kamrangirchar en Dhaka, Bangladesh.

**Objetivo:** En el marco de las encuestas nutricionales y en circunstancias cuando es difícil verificar la edad de los niños, se suelen utilizar los valores límite de la talla y la estatura como medida indirecta de la edad, cuando se practica el muestreo de los niños entre los 6 meses y los 59 meses de edad. En un entorno donde predomina el retraso de crecimiento, se usaron los datos de los centros de atención primaria de salud, donde se consignan adecuadamente los parámetros de la edad y la estatura, con el fin de evaluar la proporción de niños entre los 6 meses y los 59 meses de edad que quedarían excluidos de la evaluación nutricional al utilizar el valor límite de 65 cm como indicador indirecto de una edad de ≥6 meses.

**Método:** Fue este un análisis secundario de los datos de un centro de atención primaria de salud.

**Resultados:** Se incluyeron en el análisis 2060 niños cuya mediana de la edad fue 24 meses y la mediana de la estatura fue 78 cm (desviación tipo: 12,1 cm, intervalo de 50 cm a 109 cm). Se encontraron 240 niños con edades entre 6 y 29 meses, cuya estatura era <65 cm (12%; IC95% 10–13). La mayoría de estos niños (59%) era de sexo femenino y en el 97,5% su edad oscilaba entre 6 y 17 meses.

**Conclusión:** En el ámbito de un barrio marginal en Bangladesh, el uso actual de los valores límite de la estatura como medida indirecta de la edad excluye de la evaluación nutricional a los niños vulnerables y podría además subestimar la prevalencia de desnutrición en las encuestas nacionales.