

Principles for designing future regimens for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis

Grania Brigden,^a Bern-Thomas Nyang'wa,^b Philipp du Cros,^b Francis Varaine,^c Jennifer Hughes,^d Michael Rich,^e C Robert Horsburgh Jr,^f Carole D Mitnick,^g Eric Nuermberger,^h Helen McIlleron,ⁱ Patrick PJ Phillips^j & Manica Balasegaram^a

Abstract Fewer than 20% of patients with multidrug-resistant (MDR) tuberculosis are receiving treatment and there is an urgent need to scale up treatment programmes. One of the biggest barriers to scale-up is the treatment regimen, which is lengthy, complex, ineffective, poorly tolerated and expensive. For the first time in over 50 years, new drugs have been developed specifically to treat tuberculosis, with bedaquiline and potentially delamanid expected to be available soon for treatment of MDR cases. However, if the new drugs are merely added to the current treatment regimen, the new regimen will be at least as lengthy, cumbersome and toxic as the existing one. There is an urgent need for strategy and evidence on how to maximize the potential of the new drugs to improve outcomes and shorten treatment. We devised eight key principles for designing future treatment regimens to ensure that, once they are proven safe in clinical trials, they will be clinically effective and programmatically practicable. Regimens should contain at least one new class of drug; be broadly applicable for use against MDR and extensively drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex strains; contain three to five effective drugs, each from a different drug class; be delivered orally; have a simple dosing schedule; have a good side-effect profile that allows limited monitoring; last a maximum of 6 months; and have minimal interaction with antiretrovirals. Following these principles will maximize the potential of new compounds and help to overcome the clinical and programmatic disadvantages and scale-up constraints that plague the current regimen.

Abstracts in **عربي**, **中文**, **Français**, **Русский** and **Español** at the end of each article.

Background

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) tuberculosis, defined as tuberculosis resistant to at least rifampicin and isoniazid, is an increasing worldwide threat. According to the *Global tuberculosis report 2012* of the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 4% of new tuberculosis cases and 20% of retreated cases fall under this definition, with some countries reporting substantially higher figures.¹

Less than 20% of patients with MDR tuberculosis are currently receiving treatment and there is an urgent need to scale up treatment programmes.¹ Scale-up is being severely hampered by financial, political, logistical and technical obstacles. The high costs and difficulty of implementing regimens prevent many national tuberculosis programmes from offering treatment for MDR tuberculosis or from investing sufficiently in scaling up MDR tuberculosis treatment programmes to meet the growing need. This in turn is allowing the spread of MDR tuberculosis.

One of the biggest barriers to scaling up MDR tuberculosis programmes is the treatment regimen, which is lengthy, complex, ineffective, poorly tolerated and expensive. The current WHO-recommended regimen for treating MDR tuberculosis² requires daily injections for a minimum of 8 months and has

a total duration of at least 20 months. The drugs are less effective than those used to treat drug-susceptible tuberculosis and have more adverse effects. Each course of therapy costs around 4000 United States dollars (US\$) per patient.³

The poor efficacy of treatment and the challenges involved in the programmatic implementation of the current recommended MDR tuberculosis regimen result in poor outcomes. A recent meta-analysis of outcomes for over 9000 patients receiving treatment for MDR pulmonary tuberculosis reported only a 54% success rate; treatment default, mortality and treatment failure rates were 23%, 15% and 8%, respectively.⁴ Analysis of outcomes from tuberculosis programmes operated by the medical humanitarian agency Médecins Sans Frontières showed results similar to those of the meta-analysis, with an overall treatment success rate of 55% for MDR tuberculosis and only 13% for extensively drug-resistant (XDR) tuberculosis (defined as tuberculosis resistant to at least isoniazid, rifampicin, a fluoroquinolone and an injectable second-line drug; unpublished data, TB Working Group, Médecins Sans Frontières, 2012).

For the first time in over 50 years, new drugs have been developed specifically to treat tuberculosis. The drugs currently in phase II or later clinical trials are derived from four classes of compounds: nitroimidazoles, diarylquinolines, oxa-

^a Access Campaign, Médecins Sans Frontières, 78 Rue de Lausanne, PO Box 116, Geneva, CH1211, Switzerland.

^b Médecins Sans Frontières, London, England.

^c Médecins Sans Frontières, Paris, France.

^d Médecins Sans Frontières, Cape Town, South Africa.

^e Partners in Health, Boston, United States of America (USA).

^f Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, USA.

^g Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA.

^h Center for Tuberculosis Research, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA.

ⁱ Division of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

^j Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit, London, England.

Correspondence to Grania Brigden (e-mail: grania.brigden@geneva.msf.org).

(Submitted: 20 March 2013 – Revised version received: 21 August 2013 – Accepted: 22 August 2013 – Published online: 25 October 2013)

zolidinones and diamines. Bedaquiline was registered by the Food and Drug Administration of the United States of America in December 2012 and has been recommended for use in adults with MDR pulmonary tuberculosis by WHO.⁵ Although delamanid has recently received a negative opinion for registration with the European Medicines Agency,^{6,7} licensure and registration applications are pending at the Food and Drug Administration and at the Pharmaceutical and Medical Devices Agency of Japan, respectively. There is therefore real potential that two new drugs will be available for the treatment of MDR tuberculosis in the near future (Table 1). In addition, existing drugs not yet licensed for the treatment of MDR tuberculosis, such as linezolid, clofazimine, moxifloxacin and those at an earlier stage in the drug development pipeline (e.g. PA-824 and sutezolid), have shown promise (Table 1).^{8–12}

While the development of new drugs is good news, there is limited knowledge on how to use bedaquiline and delamanid to treat patients with MDR tuberculosis and no evidence for the safety or efficacy of the regimens in which these drugs are combined. Although organizations and collaborations such as the TB Alliance, Critical Path to TB Drug Regimens and Research Excellence to Stop TB Resistance are working on new tuberculosis treatment regimens, no new MDR tuberculosis regimen containing new compounds is imminent.

Randomized controlled trials can be useful for determining the efficacy of treatment regimens. However, for MDR tuberculosis, trials are not easy to implement in many high-burden settings because of considerable diagnostic difficulties, which limit the accuracy of disease confirmation. These high-burden settings also have a lack of sites with the capacity to conduct randomized controlled trials.

In addition to the efficacy of a new regimen, it is important to consider factors associated with successful programmatic implementation – if new drugs are merely added to the current MDR tuberculosis regimen, the resulting regimen will remain lengthy, cumbersome and toxic. There is an urgent need for a regimen-development strategy that will make it possible to maximize the potential of the new drugs to improve outcomes among patients with MDR

Table 1. New and repurposed drugs available for the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis

| Drug | New or repurposed | Class | Stage of development and comments |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| Delamanid (OPC 67683) | New | Nitroimidazole | Phase III trial under way; submitted for FDA and PMDA approval |
| Bedaquiline (TMC-207) | New | Diarylquinoline | Phase III trial to commence in 2014; FDA approval in December 2012 |
| PA-824 | New | Nitroimidazole | Developed by TB Alliance; ^a as part of a regimen in a phase IIb trial that has completed recruitment |
| Sutezolid (PNU-100480) | New | Oxazolidinone | Phase II |
| AZD-5847 | New | Oxazolidinone | Phase II |
| SQ-109 | New | Ethylenediamine | Phase II |
| Linezolid | Repurposed | Oxazolidinone | Phase II |
| Clofazimine | Repurposed | Riminophenazine | Phase II |
| Moxifloxacin | Repurposed | Fluoroquinolone | Phase III |

FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; PMDA, Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency; TB, tuberculosis.

^a Global Alliance for TB Drug Development.

tuberculosis and minimize or eliminate the adverse attributes of the current regimen.

With no improvement seen in success rates despite considerable investment in scaling up treatment programmes, it is time for a fresh approach to designing MDR tuberculosis treatment regimens. Speed and pragmatism are essential in this process. With this in mind, we have devised eight key principles that should be used in designing future MDR tuberculosis regimens to ensure that the regimens are effective and programmatically feasible and that they can be scaled up. We propose that these principles be debated, refined and adopted by all tuberculosis research groups, agencies and policy-makers currently working on or making decisions about future regimens. To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to consider an approach that uses guiding principles to ensure that the opportunity offered by the development of new tuberculosis drugs is not squandered.

Principles for designing future regimens

Any future regimen should satisfy the following principles: (i) it should contain at least one new class of drug; (ii) it should be broadly applicable for use against MDR and XDR *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex strains; (iii) it should contain three to five effective drugs, each from a different drug class;

(iv) it should have an exclusively oral delivery; (v) it should have a simple dosing schedule; (vi) it should have a good side-effect profile that allows limited monitoring; (vii) it should have a maximum duration of 6 months; and (viii) it should have minimal interaction with antiretroviral drugs. Here, we discuss and present the evidence underlying each principle.

Inclusion of one or more new drug classes

For any future MDR tuberculosis regimen, the use of at least one new drug class (whether it is added to a novel combination of antituberculosis drugs or to a standard antituberculosis regimen) has the potential to greatly improve outcomes.^{13,14} The addition of drugs from one new class to which patients have not previously been exposed would guarantee that most strains of *M. tuberculosis* complex are susceptible to the regimen. The few wild-type strains with resistance would be likely to succumb to the combined effects of the other efficacious drugs in the regimen. The addition of two new drug classes could increase the efficacy of the new regimen, if the agents can be safely combined. Care should be taken when choosing the drug combinations because studies of bactericidal activity in whole blood specimens have shown less than fully additive activity between bedaquiline and PA-824.¹⁵ Bedaquiline and either delamanid or PA-824 have shown some

antagonism in murine models, although when combined with pyrazinamide they were still significantly more efficacious than the combination of rifampicin, isoniazid and pyrazinamide.⁹

Activity against MDR and XDR strains

In areas where MDR tuberculosis is common, susceptibility testing of first-line drugs is uncommon and that of second-line drugs is more uncommon still. Even when testing is available, substantial delays in obtaining results can seriously impair the ability to construct optimal regimens. To avoid reliance on complex and lengthy drug susceptibility testing, any new regimen for MDR tuberculosis should contain only drugs to which resistance is highly unlikely to have developed. This is where new classes of drugs offer a distinct advantage.

WHO estimates that 9% of patients with MDR tuberculosis have XDR tuberculosis.¹ Since susceptibility testing for second-line drugs is not commonly available in many settings, these estimates are probably conservative.^{16,17} With the advent of new compounds not previously used in antituberculosis treatment, reliance on conventional susceptibility tests involving second-line agents could be reduced, and the emphasis on cheap, rapid molecular tests for a limited number of key agents could be increased.

Inclusion of three to five drugs

One drug is unlikely to be active against all populations of *M. tuberculosis* complex bacilli (i.e. actively multiplying bacilli, slowly or sporadically multiplying bacilli and dormant bacilli). Two-drug regimens might, in theory, be active against all populations and three-drug combinations might show even more activity.¹⁸ A review of MDR tuberculosis treatment from the era before rifampicin was available revealed that use of three antituberculosis drugs ensured favourable outcomes in patients with tuberculosis resistant to streptomycin, isoniazid and para-aminosalicylic acid.¹⁹ The review concluded that a second-line regimen should contain at least four drugs likely to be active against the infecting strain and that such drugs chosen should, in combination, have rapid bactericidal activity (e.g. show evidence of bactericidal activity early after treatment initiation), prevent the easy formation of resistance to any single drug and have sterilizing activity.

A report of a seven-drug regimen with improved efficacy does not suggest that more drugs are better, but rather that, when resistance patterns are unknown, additional drugs may be necessary to account for the possibility that several may not be contributing.²⁰ However, such regimens will unavoidably lead to increased toxicity without clinical benefit. Thus, to ensure that a regimen is effective and unlikely to generate further resistance, it should contain a minimum of three efficacious drugs; the value of including more than five drugs is unclear.³

Each drug in the new regimen should ideally have a different mechanism of action – use of two drugs from the same class is not likely to have an additional benefit and could lead to more side-effects and lower tolerability. If new drugs are developed within the same class, the drug with the better efficacy and toxicity profile should be used in the regimen.

Exclusively oral delivery

Injectable agents (kanamycin, amikacin and capreomycin) play a key role in the current recommended MDR tuberculosis regimen. WHO's recently updated guidelines on treating drug-resistant tuberculosis extend the recommended minimum duration for administration of injectable drugs.² However, resistance to the injectable drugs in the MDR tuberculosis treatment regimen is increasing. Overall, strains in approximately 20% of patients with MDR tuberculosis are resistant to the injectable agents and in some settings the prevalence of resistance is as high as 47%.²¹ Thus, the role of these injectable agents in the current MDR tuberculosis regimen is declining. An exclusively oral regimen would be better tolerated and accepted by patients and would be easier for treatment programmes to administer.

For the patient, the daily intramuscular and/or intravenous injection of drugs for the treatment of MDR tuberculosis is a painful procedure that can be exacerbated by the low body mass index common among many patients with MDR tuberculosis. In addition, the injectable agents can cause severe side-effects, including deafness, with some programmes reporting rates of attributable hearing loss as high as 20 to 30%.^{22,23} They are also associated with electrolyte imbalances and an increased risk of renal impairment. These side-

effects necessitate regular monitoring, which is an additional strain for patients and programmes.

For treatment programmes, the requirement to provide daily injections is burdensome. Because of this, in some settings patients living far from health facilities have to be hospitalized to ensure the availability of appropriately trained staff. If treatment is community based, the need for injections has additional implications in terms of human resources and personnel training.

Simple dosing schedule

With the current adherence strategy for MDR tuberculosis treatment relying on direct observation by staff while the patient takes their medicine, drugs whose administration is complex, such as injectable or nebulized agents, present considerable barriers to programmes. Oral agents are easier to administer, but drugs requiring administration more than once daily or at specific times need to be carefully considered to ensure that their benefits outweigh the programmatic complexity of ensuring that they are properly administered.

Good side-effect profile

The current regimen is plagued with side-effects.²⁴ Adverse gastrointestinal reactions are most common but deafness, renal and liver failure and psychosis are among the severe side-effects that can occur. Side-effects have been noted in 69% of patients, requiring treatment modification in 55%.²⁵ The two drugs furthest along the development pipeline (bedaquiline and delamanid) and two of the repurposed drugs (moxifloxacin and clofazimine) can prolong the QT interval,^{26,27} which may pose an obstacle to use in tuberculosis programmes. It is essential to consider the influence of side-effects on treatment adherence and loss to follow-up when planning future regimens.

Maximum duration of six months

The feasibility of large-scale regimen implementation would be greatly enhanced by reducing the duration of treatment. The two-year duration of MDR tuberculosis treatment is a major barrier to treatment adherence and programme scale-up. There is evidence that a six-month course of tuberculosis treatment can lead to good clinical outcomes if the right combination of drugs to which the infecting *M. tuberculosis* complex bacilli

are susceptible is used.^{1,28} Future MDR tuberculosis regimens containing new classes of drugs to which there is no recorded resistance, such as bedaquiline and delamanid, could produce similar outcomes.

A recently published study from Bangladesh was one of the first to look at using existing drugs in new ways to shorten and improve the outcomes obtained with MDR tuberculosis treatment.¹⁵ This observational study described the outcomes seen after a nine-month regimen in patients never exposed to second-line drugs. Good outcomes were reported; the treatment success rate was 87.9% and the default rate was 6%, much lower than seen with longer regimens.¹⁵ Since this research was conducted in a specific setting with a low prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, the results must be interpreted with caution until testing of the regimen in a multicentre randomized controlled trial (STREAM) is completed. These results, coupled with those already published from Bangladesh and other countries in which modified versions of this regimen were implemented, show the potential to dramatically reduce the duration of treatment in some patients with MDR tuberculosis.

In the United States, a six-month course of bedaquiline has been approved for concomitant use with the current regimen²⁷ and studies of delamanid have focused on a similar treatment length.²⁹ Incorporating new classes of drugs into an MDR tuberculosis regimen so that it contains drugs to which there is no background resistance should enable a substantial reduction in treatment duration. A pragmatic starting goal is to design a six-month regimen with the aim of further reducing treatment duration.

Minimal interaction with antiretrovirals

Tuberculosis is the major killer of HIV-infected patients. With 430 000 deaths reported in patients coinfecting with HIV and *M. tuberculosis* complex in 2011,¹ it is important for any new regimen to be suitable for this vulnerable group of patients. Use of this regimen with first-line antiretroviral agents for HIV infection should yield minimal, if any, clinically relevant drug–drug interactions or overlapping toxicity.

Conclusion

The current MDR tuberculosis regimen requires radical changes. It should be shorter, more tolerable and capable of being implemented rapidly within tuberculosis programmes in countries with a large burden of tuberculosis. Research into new regimens has not provided an answer to the immediate question of how to use the new tuberculosis drugs that are approved or pending approval. This is a critical research gap that must be addressed quickly. There is opportunity now to develop the strategies needed for evaluating new regimens that are suited to the current global tuberculosis situation. To ensure that the process is sped up to match the urgent need, there has to be a fundamental change in how regimens are developed and tested. Such change will require bold ideas and a willingness to challenge some of the current thinking with respect to tuberculosis treatment, clinical trials and drug development.

An important first step is to determine the compatibility of the first two new drugs likely to become available – bedaquiline and delamanid – with each other and with commonly used antiretroviral agents. In the absence of incompatibility, they could be the building blocks for new regimens that would meet many of the criteria that we have outlined. However, compatibility is not ensured. Existing tuberculosis clinical trial networks need to make compatibility studies their highest priority. Nontraditional participants in drug development research, such as Médecins Sans Frontières and Partners in Health, should engage the clinical trials community to collaborate, speed up and ensure rapid and pragmatic development and implementation of new regimens.

The potentially increased cost of new drugs could deter many centres from considering the inclusion of these drugs in new regimens. The current regimen costs about US\$ 4000 per patient,³ exclusive of laboratory, human resource and patient opportunity costs. Although the price of bedaquiline has yet to be confirmed, a tiered pricing strategy is being proposed, with costs being lowest for countries supplied by the Global Drug Facility.³⁰ Because repurposed drugs are cheaper, we hypothesize that drug costs for a regimen containing fewer drugs and of shorter duration would be equal to those of the current regi-

men. However, given the much shorter target duration and, consequently, the fewer laboratory and human resource requirements, the new regimens must have the potential to be cheaper for countries with a high burden of MDR tuberculosis. These considerations merit further investigation. The key principles that we have described should inform the development of future regimens so that the potential benefits of new compounds can be maximized while simultaneously addressing the clinical and programmatic disadvantages and constraints to scale-up that plague the current regimen. ■

Acknowledgements

We thank Charles M Heilig (statistician, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA) and Sarah Venis (medical editor, Médecins Sans Frontières, London, England) for their valuable assistance.

Competing interests: Robert Horsburgh received a research grant from the Tuberculosis Trials Consortium, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, is a member of the AIDS Clinical Trials Group's Tuberculosis Transformative Science Group and is chairman of the steering committee of RESIST-TB. Eric Nueremberger received research grants from the Global Alliance for TB Drug Development, Otsuka and Pfizer and is a member of the AIDS Clinical Trials Group's Tuberculosis Transformative Science Group and the TB Trials Consortium's Core Science Group. Carole D Mitnick has consulted for the Green Light Committee of the World Health Organization, is a member of the scientific advisory committee for Otsuka Pharmaceutical's development of OPC-67683 (delamanid) and is a member of the steering committee of RESIST-TB. Helen McIlleron participated in the independent expert review panel for the evaluation of the WHO draft interim guidance on the use of bedaquiline. Grania Brigden is on the steering committee of RESIST-TB. The other authors declare they have no competing interests.

ملخص

مبادئ تصميم النظم المستقبلية للسل المقاوم للأدوية المتعددة يتلقى أقل من 20٪ من مرضى السل المقاوم للأدوية المتعددة العلاج وتوجد حاجة ملحة لزيادة حجم برامج العلاج. وتتمثل إحدى العقبات الكبرى التي تحول دون زيادة حجم النظام العلاجي في أنه طويل ومعقد وغير فعال وباهظ الثمن وسيء التحمل. وللحرة الأولى فيما يزيد عن 50 سنة، تم تطوير أدوية جديدة لاسيما العلاج السل، باستخدام البيداكيلين وربما الديلامانيد المتوقع إتاحتها قريباً لعلاج حالات السل المقاوم للأدوية المتعددة. ومع ذلك، إذا لم يتم سوى إضافة الأدوية الجديدة إلى النظام العلاجي الراهن، فسوف يكون النظام الجديد على الأقل طويلاً ومزعجاً وساماً مثل النظام القائم. وتوجد حاجة ملحة لاستراتيجية وبيئات بشأن كيفية مضاعفة احتمالات الأدوية الجديدة في تحسين الحصائل وتقصير مدة العلاج. وقمنا باستحداث ثمانية مبادئ رئيسية لتصميم النظم العلاجية المستقبلية لضمان فعاليتها من الناحية السريرية وقابليتها

للتطبيق من الناحية البرمجية بمجرد إثبات سلامتها في التجارب السريرية. وينبغي أن تحتوي النظم على فئة دواء واحدة جديدة على الأقل؛ وأن يتم تطبيقها على نطاق واسع لاستخدامها ضد السل المقاوم للأدوية المتعددة والسلالات المعقدة من البكتريا المنفطرة السلية الشديدة المقاومة للأدوية؛ وتحتوي على ثلاثة إلى خمسة أدوية ناجعة، ينتمي كل منها إلى فئة دوائية مختلفة؛ ويتم تناولها عن طريق الفم؛ وتكون ذات جدول جرعات بسيط؛ وذات مستوى آثار جانبية جيد يسمح بالرصد المحدود؛ وتستمر لمدة 6 أشهر على الأكثر؛ وتكون أقل تفاعلاً مع مضادات الفيروسات القهقرية. وسوف يضاعف اتباع هذه المبادئ احتمالية التوصل إلى مركبات جديدة ويساعد على التغلب على العيوب السريرية والبرمجية وعلى صعوبات زيادة الحجم التي تزعج النظام الراهن.

摘要

设计未来耐多药结核病治疗方案的原则

在患有耐多药肺结核 (MDR) 的病人当中，正在接受治疗的人数不到 20%，治疗计划迫切需要扩大。而扩大计划的障碍之一在于漫长、复杂、低效、不堪忍受且昂贵的治疗方案。50 多年来人们首次专门针对治疗肺结核研发新药，贝达喹啉和可能的 Delamanid 预计将很快可以用于治疗 MDR 病例。然而，如果这些新药物仅仅是添加到当前的治疗方案中，新方案将至少和现有的方案一样漫长、繁琐并且有毒害作用。目前迫切需要有关如何最大化各个新药物潜能战略和证据，以改善效果，缩短治疗周期。为确保实现这一目标，我们设想了设计未来治疗方案的八大原则，来确保只

要这些方案经过临床试验证明安全，就将成为临床有效且程序上可行的方案。方案应包含至少一种新药物；广泛适用于对抗耐多药和广泛耐药结核分枝杆菌复合菌株；包含三到五种有效的药物，每种都分属不同的药物类别；口服；拥有简单的用药方案；有完善的不良反应用量表，从而仅需要进行有限的监控；最多持续六个月；与抗逆转录病毒药物交互作用很小。遵循这些原则将最大程度挖掘新复方的潜能，有助于克服临床和程序上的缺点，解除对扩大的羁绊，使其不再困扰当前方案。

Résumé

Principes de conception de futurs schémas thérapeutiques pour traiter la tuberculose multirésistante

Moins de 20% des patients atteints de tuberculose multirésistante (MDR) reçoivent actuellement un traitement et il est urgent de renforcer les programmes de traitement. Un des plus grands obstacles à ce renforcement est le schéma thérapeutique qui est long, complexe, inefficace, mal toléré et coûteux. Pour la première fois en plus de 50 ans, de nouveaux médicaments ont été développés spécifiquement pour traiter la tuberculose, dont la bedaquiline et potentiellement la delamanid qui devraient être bientôt disponibles pour traiter les cas de MDR. Cependant, si les nouveaux médicaments sont juste ajoutés au schéma thérapeutique actuel, le nouveau schéma thérapeutique sera au moins aussi long, lourd et toxique que celui qui existe déjà. Il est urgent d'élaborer une stratégie et d'obtenir des preuves concernant la façon de maximiser le potentiel des nouveaux médicaments pour améliorer les résultats et raccourcir la durée du traitement. Nous avons mis au point huit principes clés pour la conception des futurs schémas

thérapeutiques afin de s'assurer que, une fois qu'ils aient été éprouvés comme sûrs dans des essais cliniques, ils soient cliniquement efficaces et utilisables dans le cadre d'un programme. Les schémas thérapeutiques doivent comprendre au moins une nouvelle classe de médicament; être généralement applicables pour une utilisation contre les MDR et plus largement contre les souches complexes de *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* multirésistantes; comprendre trois des cinq médicaments efficaces, chacun provenant d'une classe de médicament différent; être administré par voie orale; avoir un schéma posologique simple; avoir un bon profil d'effets secondaires permettant un suivi limité; durer au moins 6 mois et avoir le moins d'interaction possible avec les antirétroviraux. Suivre ces principes maximisera le potentiel des nouveaux composés et permettra de surmonter les inconvénients cliniques et programmatiques, ainsi que les contraintes qui plombent le schéma thérapeutique actuel.

Резюме

Принципы составления перспективных схем лечения туберкулеза с множественной лекарственной устойчивостью

Лечение проходят лишь менее 20% пациентов, страдающих туберкулезом с множественной лекарственной устойчивостью

(МЛУ), поэтому необходимо срочно расширить охват населения программами по лечению данного заболевания. Одним из

основных препятствий по распространению таких программ является применяемая схема лечения, которая слишком продолжительна, сложна, неэффективна, плохо переносится и является дорогостоящей. Впервые за последние 50 лет был разработан новый лекарственный препарат, предназначенный исключительно для лечения туберкулеза. Препараты бедквилин и, с высокой долей вероятности, деламанид должны скоро стать доступны для проведения лечения в случае заболевания туберкулезом с МЛУ. Тем не менее, если новые лекарственные препараты будут лишь добавлены к текущей схеме лечения, новая схема будет как минимум такой же продолжительной, громоздкой и токсичной, как и применяемая в настоящее время. Необходимо срочно разработать стратегию и практические методы, позволяющие в максимальной мере реализовать потенциал новых препаратов с целью улучшить результаты и сократить время лечения. Мы сформулировали восемь основных принципов для разработки перспективных схем приема лекарственных препаратов, которые, после подтверждения их безопасности с помощью клинических испытаний, будут эффективны как с клинической точки зрения, так и с точки зрения разработки

программ лечения на их основе. Схема приема должна включать в себя как минимум один препарат нового класса; должна быть пригодна для широкомасштабного применения против сложных штаммов *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* с множественной и широкой лекарственной устойчивостью; должна включать в себя прием от трех до пяти эффективных препаратов различного класса; прием препаратов должен осуществляться перорально; режим дозирования должен быть достаточно простым; схема должна иметь приемлемый профиль побочного действия, допускающий ограниченное наблюдение за пациентом; продолжительность должна составлять не более 6 месяцев; нежелательное взаимодействие с антиретровирусными препаратами должно быть сведено к минимуму. Соблюдение этих принципов позволит в максимальной мере реализовать потенциал новых лекарственных препаратов и преодолеть клинические и программные недостатки и ограничения, снижающие эффективность существующих программ по борьбе с туберкулезом, основанных на применяемой в настоящее время схеме лечения.

Resumen

Principios para el diseño de programas futuros contra la tuberculosis multirresistente

Menos del 20% de los pacientes con tuberculosis multirresistente (MDR) recibe tratamiento, al tiempo que existe una necesidad apremiante de ampliar los programas de tratamiento. Uno de los mayores obstáculos para la ampliación es el propio programa de tratamiento, el cual resulta largo, complejo, ineficaz, caro y no se tolera bien. Por primera vez en más de 50 años se han desarrollado fármacos nuevos específicos para tratar la tuberculosis y se espera que la bedaquilina y, potencialmente, la delamanida estén disponibles pronto para tratar los casos de tuberculosis multirresistente. Sin embargo, si se limitan a introducir los fármacos nuevos al programa de tratamiento actual, el programa nuevo será, como mínimo, tan largo, complicado y tóxico como el presente. Es, por tanto, muy urgente diseñar una estrategia y reunir pruebas sobre cómo maximizar el potencial de los fármacos nuevos para mejorar los resultados y acortar el tratamiento. Hemos establecido ocho principios esenciales para el diseño de los programas de tratamiento futuros

a fin de garantizar que, una vez que se hayan probado en ensayos clínicos, sean eficaces desde el punto de vista clínico y viables mediante programación. Los programas deben contener, al menos, un tipo nuevo de fármaco, poder aplicarse de forma amplia para su uso contra la tuberculosis multirresistente y las cepas complejas de *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* ultrarresistentes, contener de tres a cinco medicamentos eficaces, cada uno de una clase de fármaco diferente; suministrarse por vía oral, tener un horario de dosificación simple y un perfil adecuado de efectos secundarios que permita una supervisión restringida, durar un máximo de 6 meses y tener una interacción mínima con antirretrovirales. Si se siguen estos principios, se maximizará el potencial de los compuestos nuevos y será más fácil superar los inconvenientes clínicos y programáticos, así como las barreras a la ampliación que abundan en el programa actual.

References

- World Health Organization [Internet]. WHO global tuberculosis report 2012. Geneva: WHO; 2012. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75938/1/9789241564502_eng.pdf [accessed 29 September 2013].
- Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis 2011 update. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011 (WHO/HTM/TB/2011.6). Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44597/1/9789241501583_eng.pdf [accessed 29 September 2013].
- DR-TB drugs under the microscope: sources and prices for drug resistant TB medications. 2nd ed. Geneva: Médecins Sans Frontières & International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease; 2012.
- Ahuja SD, Ashkin D, Avendano M, Banerjee R, Bauer M, Bayona JN et al.; Collaborative Group for Meta-Analysis of Individual Patient Data in MDR-TB. Multidrug resistant pulmonary tuberculosis treatment regimens and patient outcomes: an individual patient data meta-analysis of 9,153 patients. *PLoS Med* 2012;9:e1001300. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001300> PMID:22952439
- World Health Organization [Internet]. The use of bedaquiline in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Interim policy guidance. Geneva: WHO; 2013. Available from: http://www.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/84879/1/9789241505482_eng.pdf [accessed 23 August 2013].
- Otsuka receives opinion from CHMP on delamanid. Geneva: Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; 2013. Available from: http://www.otsuka.co.jp/en/company/release/2013/0726_01.html [accessed 23 August 2013].
- European Medicines Agency [Internet]. Refusal of the marketing authorisation for delamanid (delamanid). London: EMA; 2013. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Summary_of_opinion_-_Initial_authorisation/human/002552/WC500146651.pdf [accessed 14 October 2013].
- Gopal M, Padayatchi N, Metcalfe JZ, O'Donnell MR. Systematic review of clofazimine for the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis [review]. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2013;17:1001–7. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.12.0144> PMID:23541151
- Lee M, Lee J, Carroll MW, Choi H, Min S, Song T et al. Linezolid for treatment of chronic extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1508–18. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1201964> PMID:23075177
- Dey T, Brigden G, Cox H, Shubber Z, Cooke G, Ford N. Outcomes of clofazimine for the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013;68:284–93. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks389> PMID:23054996

11. Diacon AH, Dawson R, von Groote-Bidlingmaier F, Symons G, Venter A, Donald PR et al. 14-day bactericidal activity of PA-824, bedaquiline, pyrazinamide, and moxifloxacin combinations: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2012;380(Issue 9846):986–93. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61080-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61080-0) PMID:22828481
12. Williams K, Minkowski A, Amoabeng O, Peloquin CA, Taylor D, Andries K et al. Sterilizing activities of novel combinations lacking first- and second-line drugs in a murine model of tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56:3114–20. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00384-12> PMID:22470112
13. Diacon AH, Pym A, Grobusch M, Patientia R, Rustomjee R, Page-Shipp L et al. The diarylquinoline TMC207 for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:2397–405. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0808427> PMID:19494215
14. Gler MT, Skripconoka V, Sanchez-Garavito E, Xiao H, Cabrera-Rivero JL, Vargas-Vasquez DE et al. Delamanid for multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:2151–60. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1112433> PMID:22670901
15. Wallis RS, Jakubiec W, Mitton-Fry M, Ladutko L, Campbell S, Paige D et al. Rapid evaluation in whole blood culture of regimens for XDR-TB containing PNU-100480 (sutezolid), TMC207, PA-824, SQ109, and pyrazinamide. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e30479. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030479> PMID:22279595
16. Dalton T, Cegielski P, Akksilp S, Asencios L, Campos Caoili J, Cho SN et al.; Global PETTS Investigators. Prevalence of and risk factors for resistance to second-line drugs in people with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in eight countries: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2012;380:1406–17. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)60734-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60734-X) PMID:22938757
17. Nyang'wa BT, Brigden G, du Cros P, Shanks L. Resistance to second-line drugs in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Lancet* 2013;381:625. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60341-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60341-4) PMID:23439097
18. De March Ayuela P, Turell Gumá J. Resultados obtenidos mediante regímenes de asociación con dos o tres drogas secundarias en el retratamiento del tuberculosis pulmonar crónico. *Rev Clin Esp* 1968;109:117–26. Spanish PMID:4904020
19. Caminero JA; World Health Organization; American Thoracic Society; British Thoracic Society. Treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: evidence and controversies. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2006;10:829–37. PMID:16898365
20. Van Deun A, Maug AKJ, Salim MAH, Das PK, Sarker MR, Daru P et al. Short, highly effective, and inexpensive standardized treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182:684–92. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201001-0077OC> PMID:20442432
21. Dalton T, Cegielski P, Akksilp S, Asencios L, Campos Caoili J, Cho S-N et al.; Global PETTS Investigators. Prevalence of and risk factors for resistance to second-line drugs in people with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in eight countries: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2012;380:1406–17. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)60734-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60734-X) PMID:22938757
22. Sturdy A, Goodman A, José RJ, Loyse A, O'Donoghue M, Kon OM et al. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) treatment in the UK: a study of injectable use and toxicity in practice. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66:1815–20. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr221> PMID:21642291
23. Duggal P, Sarkar M. Audiologic monitoring of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis patients on aminoglycoside treatment with long term follow-up. *BMC Ear Nose Throat Disord* 2007;7:5. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6815-7-5> PMID:17997841
24. Nathanson E, Gupta R, Huamani P, Leimane V, Pasechnikov AD, Tupasi TE et al. Adverse events in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: results from the DOTS-Plus initiative. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2004;8:1382–4. PMID:15581210
25. Törün T, Güngör G, Özmen I, Bölükbaşı Y, Maden E, Biçakçı B et al. Side effects associated with the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2005;9:1373–7. PMID:16468160
26. Zhang Q, Liu Y, Tang S, Sha W, Xiao H. Clinical benefit of delamanid (OPC-67683) in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients in China. *Cell Biochem Biophys* 2013. Apr 2 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12013-013-9589-5> PMID:23546935
27. *Highlights of prescribing information*. Silver Spring: United States Food and Drug Agency; 2012. Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/204384s000lbl.pdf [accessed 23 August 2013].
28. Gelband H. Regimens of less than six months for treating tuberculosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000. CD001362. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001362> PMID:10796641
29. Skripconoka V, Danilovits M, Pehme L, Tomson T, Skenders G, Kummik T et al. Delamanid improves outcomes and reduces mortality in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Eur Respir J* 2013;41:1393–400. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00125812> PMID:23018916
30. World Health Organization [Internet]. WHO model list of essential medicines application—Bedaquiline 100 mg tablet. Geneva: WHO; 2013. Available from: http://www.who.int/selection_medicines/committees/expert/19/applications/Bedaquiline_6_2_4_A_Ad.pdf [accessed 29 September 2013].